directed to the Agency Clearance Officer: Wilma H. McCauley, Tennessee Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street(WR 4Q), Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402–2801; (423) 751–2523. Comments should be sent to the Agency Clearance Officer no later than March 15, 1999.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Type of Request: Regular submission. Title of Information Collection: Comprehensive Services Program. Frequency of Use: Daily.

Type of Affected Public: Independent Power Distributors.

Small Businesses or Organizations Affected: No.

Federal Budget Functional Category Code: 271.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 83.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: .083.

Need For and Use of Information: The evaluation request will help determine overall satisfaction with the TVA Comprehensive Services Program. The information will be used as an indicator for the quarterly Business Plan report.

# William S. Moore,

Senior Manager, Administrative Services. [FR Doc. 99–875 Filed 1–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8120–08–P

# **TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

# Tellico Reservoir Land Management Plan, Blount, Loudon, and Monroe Counties

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: This notice is provided in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations (40 CFR 1500 to 1508) and TVA's procedures implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. TVA will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on alternatives for management of certain TVA-owned lands surrounding Tellico Reservoir in Loudon, Monroe, and Blount Counties, Tennessee. The plan will help guide TVA resource management and property administration decisions on 12,649 acres of public land under TVA control.

**DATES:** Comments on the scope of the EIS must be received on or before February 1, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Jon M. Loney, Manager, Environmental Management, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902–1499.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold M. Draper, NEPA Specialist, Environmental Management, Tennessee

Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, WT 8C, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902–1499; telephone (423) 632–6889 or e-mail hmdraper@tva.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The gates to Tellico Dam were closed in 1979, creating the Tellico Reservoir. The waters of Tellico Reservoir and Fort Loudoun reservoir are joined by a 500-foot wide canal. Approximately 38,480 acres of land was acquired for the Tellico Project. Of that, 16,500 acres are covered by water during normal summer pool. Subsequent transfers of land by TVA for economic, industrial, residential or public recreation development have resulted in a current balance of 12,649 acres of TVA land on Tellico Reservoir.

In April of 1982, the Tellico Reservoir Development Agency (TRDA) was created by the Tennessee Legislature to cooperate with TVA in the development of approximately 10,582 acres of land along the reservoir. TRDA was created with the mandate to plan programs and implement activities for the comprehensive development of designated lands within the Tellico Reservoir project area. TVA anticipates that TRDA will cooperate in the preparation of this EIS.

This EIS will tier from TVA's Final EIS, Shoreline Management Initiative: An Assessment of Residential Shoreline Development Impacts in the Tennessee Valley (November 1998). That EIS evaluated alternative policies for managing residential uses along TVA's reservoir system, including Tellico Reservoir.

One of the major objectives of the Tellico Project and the integrated land plan developed for it was to develop and use the acquired project lands in a way that would make the maximum possible contribution to the economy of the region. Based on current growth trends and the inevitable pressures for change TVA has decided to reevaluate the allocation of its remaining public land on Tellico Reservoir to determine if changes are needed to further support the objectives of the project.

TVA develops reservoir land management plans to help in the management of reservoir properties in its custody. These plans seek to integrate land and water benefits, provide for public benefits, and balance competing and sometimes conflicting resource uses. Plans are approved by the TVA Board of Directors.

## **Proposed Action and Alternatives**

TVA proposes to develop a reservoir land management plan to guide landuse approvals, private water use facility permitting, and resource management decisions on Tellico Reservoir. The plan would identify land use zones in broad categories. It is anticipated that lands currently committed to a specific use would be allocated to that current use unless there is an overriding need to change the use. Such commitments include transfers, leases, licenses, contracts, power lines, outstanding land rights, or TVA developed recreation areas. At this time, TVA anticipates that at least three alternative plans would be analyzed in the EIS. One alternative plan would rely on the existing land use plan established by contract with the Tellico Reservoir Development Agency. This plan allocates land into three categories: TVA retained land, suballocated to cultural/public use/open space areas, industrial development areas, and natural/wildlife areas; transferred land, sub-allocated to private residential areas, industrial development areas, and commercial recreation areas; and easement landland under easement for recreation areas or to the Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians. This would be the "No Action" Alternative.

A second alternative plan would allocate land into categories that emphasize sensitive resource management (preservation and enhancement of wetlands, biodiversity, and archaeological and historic resources) and natural resource conservation. A third alternative plan would include sensitive resource management and natural resource conservation but would also analyze the potential for expanded commercial recreation and residential development along a portion of the northeast reservoir shoreline. This involves a proposal made by Tellico Landing, Inc., to develop TVA tracts in this area along with other non-TVA properties for these uses. Other alternative uses for TVA tracts of land along the reservoir that may be considered include industrial/ commercial development, developed recreation, and residential development.

#### **Scoping**

TVA anticipates that the EIS will discuss the effects of the alternative plans on the following resources and issue areas: visual resources, cultural resources, threatened and endangered species, terrestrial ecology, wetlands, recreation, water quality, aquatic

ecology, socioeconomics, floodplains, prime farmland, noise, and air quality. TVA is interested in receiving comments on these and any additional issues to be addressed in the EIS. The EIS will address environmental issues and impacts raised in public scoping. A scoping meeting is expected to be held in the near future. Persons interested in attending or receiving more information should call 800–TVA–LAND prior to the meeting to confirm the time and location.

#### Kathryn J. Jackson,

Executive Vice President, Resource Group. [FR Doc. 99–874 Filed 1–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8120–08–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

[Summary Notice No. PE-99-29]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before February 4, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC–200), Petition Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: 9–NPRM–CMTS@faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are

filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC–200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3132.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda Eichelberger (202) 267–7470 or Terry Stubblefield (202) 267–7624, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 8, 1999.

#### Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

# **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: 29311

Petitioner: Kitty Hawk Aircargo, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.438(a)(1)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Kitty Hawk to allow each of its second-in-command (SIC) pilots that have fewer than 100 hours of flight time as SIC in part 121 operations in the type of airplane being flown to perform takeoffs and landings at airports designated as special airports.

Docket No.: 29427

Petitioner: Boeing Commercial Airplane Group

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 25.1435(b)(1)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit Boeing partial exemption from the requirements in lieu of a static test of 4500 psig.

Boeing proposes to demonstrate compliance with a range of motion test for only the hydraulic tubing added for the 737–700C Main Deck Cargo Door (MDCD) system at just below the system relief pressure of 3400 psig.

Docket No.: 29386

Petitioner: Mr. Archie D. Van Beek Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 45.29(b)(1)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit you to operate your Maule M-5 airplane displaying 3-inch high nationality and registration markings instead of the 12-inch-high marking required by the regulation.

# Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 25636

Petitioner: International Aero Engines (IAE)

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.325(b) (1) and (3)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit export
airworthiness approvals to be issued
for Class I products (engines)
assembled and tested in the United
Kingdom, and for Class II and III
products manufactured in the IAE
consortium countries of Germany,
Japan, and the United Kingdom.

Grant, December 29, 1998, Exemption No. 4991E

Docket No.: 6605A

Petitioner: Mr. Robert W. Fortnam Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109 (a) and (b)(3)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit petitioner to conduct recurrent flight training in Beechcraft Bonanza, Baron, and Travel Air aircraft, and recurrent flight training in simulated instrument flight in Beechcraft Baron and Travel Air aircraft, when those aircraft are equipped with a functioning throwover control wheel in place of functioning dual controls.

Grant, December 31, 1998, Exemption No. 6605A

Docket No.: 29157 Petitioner: US Airways

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit US Airways to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman for an FAA inspector when an FAA inspector is not available to observe a qualifying pilot in command (PIC) who is completing initial or upgrade training, as specified in the performance of prescribed duties during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

Grant, December 30, 1998, Exemption No. 6849

Docket No.: 26732

Petitioner: Air Transport Association of America

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.652 (a) and (c)

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit a pilot in command (PIC) conducting operations to perform an instrument approach procedure to the weather minima prescribed by this exemption during the first 100 hours of service as PIC, in the type airplane he or she is operating, using an alternative means approved by the Administrator to satisfy the requirements.